A 35-year-old woman presents with a one-month history of progressive global headaches, which last from hours to a day. The headaches are associated with visual blurring and paresthesia involving the left side of her face. She sometimes experiences nausea and vomiting with the headaches. On a few occasions, her headaches have been associated with sexual intercourse. Her headaches are now affecting her ability to work. She has a history of occasional headaches. A distant relative on the maternal side of her family died from subarachnoid hemorrhage associated with a cerebral aneurysm. On examination, the patient is slightly overweight and there is possibly some blurring of the optic disc margins bilaterally. Neurological examination is otherwise unremarkable.

What diagnoses should be considered?

The differential diagnosis includes primary headache (e.g., migraine, tension headache) and idiopathic intracranial hypertension. Secondary headache associated with aneurysm or intracranial masses should also be considered.

Based on the patient’s symptoms and examination, should she be sent for imaging of her head?

This patient may benefit from imaging because she has progressive headaches and findings that suggest the possibility of underlying pathology.

The frequency of headache complaints and the low yield of positive results create a substantial challenge in use of imaging. A study by You and colleagues\(^4\) assessed indications for and results of nearly 12 000 requests for outpatient computed tomography (CT) in 20 Ontario hospitals. In this study, the head was the most requested body part for CT (35%), with 26% of these scans requested for headache. Less than 2% of these were positive for an abnormality that could explain the headache. A systematic review performed for a guideline on headache by the American College of Radiology\(^2\) found that there is a very low yield of positive results for imaging in patients presenting with isolated headache; the incidence of abnormalities was as low as 0.4%, and not all of these were clinically significant. There are potential risks with neuroimaging, including false-positive results that may worry the patient and lead to additional procedures.\(^3\)

Headaches associated with “red flags” merit imaging (Box 1).\(^4\) These include those associated with thunderclap onset (i.e., instantly peaking pain), new onset in pregnancy, suspected meningitis, immunosuppression, ongoing or suspected recurrence of malignancy, or ipsilateral Horner syndrome with suspected arterial dissection.\(^4\)–\(^6\)

As per the recommendations of the Choosing Wisely and Choosing Wisely Canada campaigns,\(^4\) neuroimaging studies are not required in patients with stable headaches that meet the criteria for migraine. A similar recommendation holds for typical cluster headaches.\(^3\) The likelihood of positive findings on imaging is not increased when headaches are accompanied by symptoms such as numbness and visual blurring without any abnormal neurologic findings. A normal neurologic examination reduces the odds

---

**Box 1: Choosing Wisely recommendations on imaging for headache**

Don’t do imaging for uncomplicated headache unless red flags are present.

- Red flags include recent onset, rapidly increasing frequency and severity of headache; headache causing the patient to wake up from sleep; associated dizziness, lack of coordination, tingling or numbness, new neurologic deficit; and new onset of a headache in a patient with a history of cancer or immunodeficiency.

Source: Canadian Association of Radiologists. Five things physicians and patients should question. Choosing Wisely Canada.\(^4\)
neurologic examination increases the likelihood of finding important intracranial pathology (likelihood ratio 3.0). These findings, imaging would be indicated, with CT preferred to exclude acute hemorrhage and rapidly available at most sites (Box 2).

Guidelines and clinical decision rules are helpful in determining the need for imaging in headache. For example, inclusion of thunderclap onset (i.e., a red flag) and limited neck flexion (i.e., abnormal neurologic examination) resulted in 100% sensitivity when incorporated into the Ottawa Subarachnoid Hemorrhage Rule; specificity was 15.3%. In a patient with these findings, imaging would be indicated, with CT preferred to exclude acute hemorrhage and rapidly available at most sites (Box 2).

Neuroimaging studies may be requested for fear of missing a diagnosis, or because of medical concerns or patient expectation. A negative study can provide reassurance for both the patient and the referring physician, but this reassurance is difficult to quantify. As such, diagnostic scans for headache may be considered on an individual basis. A frank discussion with the patient stating the lack of impact of neuroimaging in the management of most headaches, the associated risk related to radiation exposure and the risks (both physical and psychological) related to false-positive results may be helpful.

When nonemergent imaging is indicated, magnetic resonance imaging is preferred over CT, for higher sensitivity and to avoid radiation exposure. In general, CT is preferred in emergency situations and when acute hemorrhage is suspected (Box 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Red flags</th>
<th>Suggested tests</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>New onset of a headache in a patient with a history of cancer or immunodeficiency</td>
<td>Enhanced MRI or contrast-enhanced CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New neurologic deficit</td>
<td>Noncontrast CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rapidly increasing frequency and severity of headache; thunderclap onset; headache causing the patient to wake from sleep</td>
<td>Noncontrast CT; consider CT angiogram in cases of thunderclap onset to rule out aneurysm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First-degree relative with known aneurysm or subarachnoid hemorrhage</td>
<td>Consider CT or MR angiogram to rule out aneurysm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated dizziness, lack of coordination, tingling or numbness</td>
<td>Consider CT or MRI including angiogram to rule out dissection or vascular insufficiency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headache associated with sexual activity, orgasmic type with thunderclap onset (nonacute)</td>
<td>Consider CT or MRI including angiogram to rule out aneurysm or dissection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: CT = computed tomography, MRI = magnetic resonance imaging.

Case revisited
Because there is evidence of possible papilledema on examination, imaging to exclude idiopathic intracranial hypertension or tumour is reasonable. Magnetic resonance imaging, preferably
with a magnetic resonance venogram, would be preferred over CT. Lumbar puncture with measurement of opening pressure may be diagnostic for idiopathic intracranial hypertension, the most likely diagnosis in this case. If, however, this patient did not have findings of papilledema, imaging would not be indicated.
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